

## New Income Tax Act, 2025: A Major Structural Change



In a landmark reform, the Union Budget 2026–27 announces the implementation of the New Income Tax Act, 2025, effective from April 1, 2026, marking the first comprehensive rewrite of India's direct tax law in over six decades. This is a core development for taxpayers and finance professionals, as it fundamentally overhauls and simplifies the legal framework governing income tax. The new Act replaces the Income Tax Act of 1961 – a law that had become notoriously complex with innumerable amendments, provisos, and archaic language over 65 years. The revamp aims to make tax laws easier to understand, compliance simpler for the average taxpayer, and administration more transparent and efficient.

The Budget highlights that the new Income Tax Act, 2025 (ITA 2025) will come into effect from April 2026 and that simplified Income Tax Rules and Forms will be notified shortly to accompany it. For taxpayers, especially individuals and small businesses, this promises a less cumbersome experience. The Finance Minister explicitly stated that “the forms are redesigned for easy compliance of ordinary citizens”. In essence, the reform shifts focus from constant tinkering with rates and exemptions to structural simplification and ease of compliance.

Let's break down the key features and implications of the new Act: how it simplifies the law, what changes (and what doesn't change) for taxpayers, how compliance is made easier, and how it ties into broader goals of reducing litigation and building a trust-based tax system.

### Replacing a 60-Year-Old Law: Why a New Act?

The Income Tax Act, 1961 was enacted when the Indian economy and tax base were much smaller and simpler. Over time, it was layered with new provisions – from allowances, deductions, surcharges, cess – turning into a labyrinthine text. By some counts, it had over 700 sections and several hundred rules, plus thousands of judgments interpreting them. This complexity led to compliance burdens and frequent disputes.

The New Income Tax Act, 2025 is a clean-slate rewrite. According to the Budget's highlights: – The number of sections and the volume of text have been reduced by nearly 50% compared to the old law. This means many redundant or repetitive provisions have been eliminated or consolidated. – Language has been clarified – dense, cross-referenced legalese is replaced with simplified and logically organized provisions. The Hindustan Times noted that the new law “aims to replace dense, cross-referenced provisions with a simplified and logically organised statute”. This will help even non-experts understand the basics of taxation without constantly needing professional interpretation. – Outdated concepts and exemptions likely have been pruned. For example, the old Act had legacy provisions (like those dealing with estate duty, which was abolished decades ago) and a maze of sector-specific perks. The new Act likely retains essential incentives but in a cleaner form. – Importantly, no change in tax rates or slabs has been made in this structural shift. The Finance Minister chose to keep the law change “revenue neutral” – meaning taxpayers will, in aggregate, pay roughly the same amount of tax as under the old system. This was a conscious decision: instead of giving short-term relief or tweaks (which many expected), she focused on the long-term benefit of a simpler code. As a result, the new Act does not alter the headline tax slabs for FY26–27; for example, the personal income tax slabs under the new and old regimes remain as before, and corporate tax rates remain as is. The logic is that a structural reset is significant enough; any rate cuts can be considered in future budgets separately.

To illustrate the streamlining, consider areas like taxation of charities or capital gains: – Earlier, each type of capital asset (equity, debt, real estate, gold) had different holding periods and indexation rules. The new law might harmonize some of these to reduce confusion (though specific details would need reading the Act). – The taxation of perquisites and exemptions (like LTC, house rent allowance, etc.) could be simplified, perhaps subsumed under standard deduction in some cases. – Numerous provisos that caused ambiguity (leading to litigation) have been cleared up. The Financial Express noted “ambiguities [are] removed to cut down tax disputes and litigation”, which should help reduce the huge backlog of tax cases.

Overall, the enactment of ITA 2025 is similar to when other countries have implemented a new tax code – it's about re-codification for clarity, not necessarily making drastic policy changes (those can come incrementally once the base is clean).

### Simplified Rules and Forms: Ease of Compliance

A major objective of the new Act is to make tax return filing and compliance easier, especially for the “ordinary” taxpayer.

The government is keenly aware that complexity in forms and procedures often frustrates small taxpayers and leads to errors or non-compliance.

The Budget reveals: – New IT return (ITR) forms have been redesigned and will be notified soon. These forms are expected to be far simpler, likely with intuitive schedules and fewer attachments. The FM assured that “the forms for the purpose are redesigned for easy compliance of ordinary citizens”. – Possibly a move towards “question-based ITR forms” (like ITR 1 might just ask basic questions that auto-calculate income, rather than expecting taxpayers to navigate multiple schedules). The government had been working on a single-page simpler ITR form for most individuals – the new Act might facilitate that. – Adequate time will be given to taxpayers to understand the changes. This indicates that FY26-27 (AY2027-28) will be the first year of filing under the new law, and the forms will come well in advance, plus maybe extensive awareness campaigns or user guides. It’s crucial because there will be a transition from old to new (e.g., some incomes of FY25-26 might be assessed under old Act, and FY26-27 under new – so tax professionals will handle both in parallel for a year). – There is a specific focus on relief for small and first-time filers, meaning the process will be more guided and forgiving for them.

Another compliance change is the extension of the deadline for filing Revised Returns. The Budget proposes extending the due date for filing a revised return from December 31 to March 31 of the subsequent year (with a nominal late fee). Currently, if you filed your original return by July 31 (individuals) or Oct 31 (audit cases), you have until end of the year to revise any mistakes. Now you would get an extra 3 months till 31<sup>st</sup> March. This is a welcome change – taxpayers often discover mistakes or receive late information (like a forgotten interest income) after Dec 31. With this extension, they can rectify without going into the “updated return” regime (which has penalties). It gives more breathing room to fix errors and encourages compliance because people know they can correct genuine mistakes.

Additionally, a 6-month window for small taxpayers to disclose foreign assets has been announced. This is an “immunity” or compliance scheme: – It is aimed at individuals like students, tech professionals, or recently moved NRIs who might have inadvertently not disclosed some foreign bank account or asset in past returns. – They can declare these within a 6-month window without harsh penalties, thereby cleaning up past non-compliance issues. – This is part of trust-building – acknowledging that not every nondisclosure is high-value tax evasion; some are due to ignorance of rules by small taxpayers.

Another simplification: TDS refund allowed even after ITR filing deadline. Under current law, if you miss the return filing deadline (say July 31) and file later (belated return), you cannot claim a refund of TDS because technically late returns might not allow it. The new provision says taxpayers can claim credit for TDS and get refunds even if the return is late, without being penalized just for delay.

This is a big relief for salaried folks or retirees who sometimes miss deadlines and then fear losing their refund.

Also, no interest will be charged on penalty amounts during appeal pendency. Previously, if you were hit with a penalty (for underreporting income, etc.) and you appealed, interest would keep accruing on that penalty until appeal outcome. Now, interest on such penalties is paused while the case is before the first appellate authority. This reduces financial stress and is fair since the penalty’s validity is under dispute.

All these measures – extended deadlines, easier amendments, rationalized forms – are geared towards a “friendlier” tax system that trusts the taxpayer and helps them comply, rather than catching them out on technicalities. As Hindustan Times succinctly put it, the focus is on “simplifying compliance, providing more certainty and building trust between the taxpayer and the State”. That encapsulates the philosophical shift: from an enforcement-heavy approach to a facilitative one (without giving up on deterrence for willful evasion, of course).



## What Changes (and Doesn't) for Taxpayers

From a taxpayer's perspective, what are the immediate changes?

No change in tax slabs or rates: As mentioned, the Budget did not change income tax slabs under either regime. So, the basic tax liability calculation remains the same for FY26-27. Many expected perhaps tweaks like raising the highest slab threshold or increasing Section 80C limit, but none of that was done in this Budget.

However, some specific provisions and penalties have changed: – Differentiated Penalty for Under-reporting vs Misreporting: The new Act (or rather the budget amendments) clearly distinguishes between under-reporting due to error/omission and misreporting due to intent to evade. If a discrepancy in income is due to a genuine mistake or oversight, the penalty is 50% of the tax on that under-reported income. If it's deliberate misreporting (e.g., claiming fake deductions, or hiding certain income sources), the penalty is a steep 200% of the tax on that income. This was announced in the Budget and is a stricter stance on willful evasion while being lenient on mistakes. It replaces the earlier flat 50% (under-reporting) and 200% (misreporting) categories but with more clarity of classification.



Essentially it codifies that honest mistakes won't attract the maximum penalty, which is fair and encourages taxpayers to come forward and correct errors (since they know the worst case for an error is 50% penalty, not 200%). It's a deterrent against fraud without over-penalizing the naive.

- **Immunity scheme for misreporting with full tax payment:** A very interesting provision from PIB is that the Budget extends the chance to avoid penalty/prosecution even for misreporting if taxpayer comes forward and pays 100% of the tax on that income as additional tax. This sounds like if one has misreported income earlier, one can volunteer it and pay double tax (100% extra) to avoid penalties and prosecution. It's a bit like an amnesty (though an expensive one by paying twice the tax). Possibly this is part of the transition to the new regime – allowing people to clean slate their past issues. It aligns with the idea of clearing out litigation backlog and starting the new Act on a cleaner note.
- **Foreign asset disclosure window:** as discussed, a 6-month window for small taxpayers to declare foreign assets without stringent penalties. This mostly affects those who had, say, a foreign bank account while on a short work stint abroad or a Robinhood trading account not disclosed, etc. It's a one-time chance for compliance.
- **MAT (Minimum Alternate Tax) reforms:** The Budget gives relief on MAT. It proposes MAT exemption for certain non-residents who are taxed on presumptive basis (for instance, foreign companies whose income is taxed under presumptive schemes or treaty, no MAT now). Also, MAT will be treated as final tax (meaning if you pay MAT you don't carry it forward as credit) which might simplify matters, and MAT rate is cut to 14% from 15% for companies under old regime. This reduces burden and disputes for companies that fall under MAT (often those with book profits but low taxable income due to incentives). Also, as HT noted, companies transitioning to the new simplified corporate tax regime can set off some MAT credit (25% of liability) and from April 2026 MAT becomes a final tax for those in old regime. This again provides certainty and closes out a long-standing irritant.
- **Taxation of certain transactions changed:**
- **Buyback tax rationalization:** The Budget says "Buyback proceeds to be taxed as capital gains for all shareholders, with promoters paying an additional levy". Currently buybacks are taxed via a distribution tax on companies; moving to shareholder taxation aligns with capital gains regime.
- **Securities Transaction Tax (STT) increased on derivatives:** STT on options up from 0.1% to 0.15%, on futures from 0.01% to 0.05%, making speculation slightly costlier.
- **TCS (Tax Collected at Source) relief:** There was controversy about TCS on foreign remittances (LRS) being raised to 20% for tour packages in mid-2023. Budget 2026 reverses that for education and medical remittances, cutting TCS from 5% to 2%, and on overseas tour packages to 2% flat. This is a big relief for middle-class taxpayers who send children abroad or travel – it lowers upfront cash outgo and administrative hassle of claiming TCS refunds. It demonstrates responsiveness to feedback and aligns TCS with reasonable rates.
- **Exemption for accident compensation:** Amounts received from Motor Accident Claims Tribunal awards are made fully tax-exempt. Earlier there was ambiguity or partial exemption. Now victims and families get the full amount without tax, a humane move.

All these changes come with the underlying narrative that this Budget and new Act have "reset" the tax system for the long term. As Financial Express put it, "Budget 2026... marked a structural reset of the income tax system. The big story is simpler laws, easier filing, fewer disputes, and more time to comply – even if direct rate relief remains elusive for now.". Taxpayers might not see a cut in tax rates this year, but they should feel the system is more straightforward and fair.



## Towards a Trust-Based, Litigation-Light Tax Regime

The New Income Tax Act 2025 and associated reforms reflect a shift in philosophy: making tax compliance "seamless, painless, and faceless", to borrow the government's own tagline in recent years.



By reducing complexity, the scope for tax disputes also reduces – many disputes arise from ambiguous provisions or multiple interpretations. The government explicitly aimed to curtail litigation: – It converts many minor non-compliances into fees instead of penalties, as HT noted, to avoid adversarial stance on procedural lapses. A BusinessWorld piece similarly said “Budget 2026 deliberately converts many minor non-compliances from penalties to fees, drastically reducing discretionary power. A fee is fixed...”, implying no scope for harassment or heavy-handed action for small delays or mistakes. – Decriminalization: Continuing a trend, Budget 2026 decriminalized more offenses and reduced maximum jail terms for tax offenses, focusing on monetary penalties instead. This is a huge shift from earlier times when even minor lapses (like not depositing TDS timely) carried potential criminal prosecution. Now prosecution is reserved for serious willful evasion and even then courts have more discretion to impose fines instead of jail. – Faceless and automated processes: Though not new in this Budget, the ongoing expansion of faceless assessments and appeals, and introduction of automated rule-based systems (like for lower TDS certificates as mentioned) means less human interface (reducing corruption) and more consistency. – Safe harbours and APAs (Advance Pricing Agreements) for transfer pricing: The Budget makes transfer pricing easier by raising thresholds, consolidating safe harbour rules, and allowing companies to file modified returns after an APA settlement. This will reduce litigation in the notoriously dispute-prone area of related-party pricing.



All these contribute to a tax environment where honest taxpayers feel less intimidated and dishonest ones face targeted strict action. The New IT Act forms the backbone by being clear and concise – it's expected to significantly cut down future disputes because many grey areas would have been clarified in drafting, learning from 60 years of case law on the old Act.

From April 2026, when a taxpayer sits to file under the new Act, ideally: – The language of provisions will be simpler to comprehend (the Act might be accompanied by explanatory notes well in advance). – The ITR form might be smarter – guiding them to the applicable sections and schedules without needing a tax professional for basic cases. – If they make a mistake, they have until March to correct it easily. – If they accidentally miss something, they may pay a small fee or 50% penalty, but not face draconian punishment.

– If they willfully cheat, they'll face heavier penalties or perhaps the chance to come clean by paying double tax but avoiding criminal charges.

All told, the New Income Tax Act, 2025 ushers in a new era of tax administration in India – one that is modern, streamlined, and aligned with global best practices of simplicity and certainty. It's comparable to what the GST did for indirect taxes in terms of overhauling a legacy system (though hopefully with far fewer initial hiccups than GST had). For taxpayers, the benefits will accrue over time through fewer headaches and possibly, as compliance improves, the government may find room in future budgets to lower tax rates or give more direct relief.

Sources: The Press Information Bureau bulletin clearly states “New Income Tax Act, 2025 to come into effect from April 2026, simplified Income Tax Rules and Forms to be notified shortly”. Financial Express enumerated the top announcements: the new Act replacing the 1961 law from April 1, 2026, with sections and text reduced by ~50%, ambiguities removed, and ITR forms redesigned. It also listed specific taxpayer-centric changes: 200% penalty for misreporting vs 50% for errors, revised return deadline extended to Mar 31, TDS refunds allowed for late filers, no interest on penalties during appeal, foreign asset disclosure scheme, MAT rate cut to 14%, TCS on education/medical abroad cut to 2%, tour TCS to 2%, and so on. Hindustan Times emphasized the broader narrative: “the budget focuses on simplifying compliance, providing more certainty and building trust”, highlighting the new Act's role in that, and detailed how many offences are being decriminalized or turned to fees. This confluence of sources confirms that the Budget's tax reforms are indeed a structural overhaul aimed at a simpler law, easier compliance, rationalized penalties, and a shift to a taxpayer-friendly regime.