Artificial Intelligence And The Future Of The Global Economy

Productivity, Jobs, Investment and the Race to Shape Tomorrow's Prosperity

Introduction

AI becomes an economic force



Artificial intelligence (AI) is moving rapidly from research laboratories and technology companies into the everyday operations of businesses, governments and households. It is changing how information is produced, decisions are made, services are delivered and goods are manufactured. What began as a technology story has become a major economic question: how will AI influence productivity, employment, investment, competition and the distribution of wealth?

The global economy is entering a period in which AI could become a general-purpose technology, comparable in its long-term influence to electricity, computers and the internet. Its potential is visible across sectors, from financial services and healthcare to logistics, agriculture, education and manufacturing. Yet the economic gains are not automatic. They depend on whether organisations redesign their processes, workers acquire new skills, countries build reliable digital infrastructure and policymakers establish trusted rules.

The International Monetary Fund's 2026 analysis describes AI as a major structural shift affecting jobs, productivity and income distribution. Its 2026 annual reporting also highlights the scale of investment flowing into AI-related technologies, including data centres, semiconductors and computing infrastructure. The World Bank's *World Development Report 2026: The Promise of Artificial Intelligence* focuses particularly on how low- and middle-income economies can adopt, adapt and benefit from AI.

The central issue for the global economy is therefore not simply how powerful AI systems become. It is how widely their benefits are shared—and whether economies can manage the disruption that accompanies technological change.

AI investment is reshaping the global growth landscape

The AI economy is supported by a broad investment cycle. Companies are spending on advanced chips, servers, cloud platforms, data centres, high-speed networks, software and electricity infrastructure. This investment creates demand for construction, engineering, energy, minerals, manufacturing and specialised services.

The IMF's 2026 annual report notes that AI-related technology investment added an estimated 0.5 percentage point to US GDP growth in 2025. It also cites external estimates that private-sector-driven AI investment could exceed US$2 trillion globally in 2026. Such estimates are not guaranteed outcomes, but they illustrate the scale of capital being directed towards the sector.

The investment cycle is geographically concentrated. The United States has a strong position in frontier models, cloud services and venture capital. East Asian economies play essential roles in semiconductor manufacturing, electronics and hardware supply chains. Europe has strengths in industrial engineering, research and regulation, while countries in the Gulf and elsewhere are investing in data centres, digital infrastructure and AI ecosystems.

The next stage will test whether investment translates into durable productivity and commercial returns. Building computing capacity is only one part of the equation. Businesses must find valuable applications, integrate them into operations and measure whether they improve output, quality or customer service.

Productivity: The biggest promise of AI



Productivity is the ability to produce more value with the same or fewer resources. AI can support productivity by helping workers analyse information, automate repetitive tasks, identify patterns, generate drafts, forecast demand and coordinate complex processes.

In manufacturing, AI-enabled systems can improve predictive maintenance, quality control, production scheduling and inventory management. In logistics, algorithms can optimise routes, warehouse operations and delivery forecasts. In agriculture, data-driven tools can support crop monitoring, irrigation decisions and early detection of pests or disease. In financial services, AI can assist with fraud detection, customer support, risk analysis and compliance monitoring.

Professional services may also experience significant change. Accountants, lawyers, consultants, analysts and other specialists can use AI to organise documents, summarise information, prepare initial drafts and identify issues for human review. The value of professionals may increasingly depend on judgement, interpretation, ethics, client understanding and the ability to verify machine-generated work.

However, productivity gains often take time. Organisations need reliable data, secure systems, staff training and redesigned workflows. Simply purchasing an AI tool does not guarantee better performance. The strongest gains are likely to come when technology is combined with process improvement, skilled employees and accountable management.

The future of work: Jobs will change, not just disappear

AI is changing the tasks performed within jobs, and its effects will differ across occupations, industries and countries. Some activities may be automated, while others may become faster or more valuable when supported by AI. New roles will emerge in areas such as AI operations, data governance, cybersecurity, model evaluation, digital transformation and human-machine collaboration.

The IMF has warned that a substantial share of jobs worldwide will be exposed to AI, with the nature of exposure varying between advanced and developing economies. Exposure does not necessarily mean job loss. In many roles, AI may complement workers by increasing their capabilities. In others, it may reduce demand for particular tasks or alter the number and type of workers required.

The World Bank's 2026 assessment highlights an important distinction for developing economies. In many lower-income settings, AI may initially complement workers by expanding access to expertise, especially where skilled professionals are scarce. Yet knowledge-intensive service roles can face disruption, and the impact will evolve as infrastructure and adoption improve.

The transition could be difficult for entry-level workers if routine research, drafting, coding or administrative tasks become automated. These tasks have traditionally helped young employees gain experience. Employers and education providers will need to rethink apprenticeships, practical training and pathways into professional careers.

The policy response should focus on workers, not only on technology. Reskilling, lifelong learning, portable credentials, career guidance and effective social protection can help people move into changing occupations. The objective should be to make workers more productive and adaptable, rather than treating labour as an obstacle to automation.

The global AI divide: Leaders and late adopters

The benefits of AI are unlikely to be distributed evenly. Countries with reliable electricity, broadband connectivity, computing capacity, research institutions, skilled workers and access to capital are better positioned to develop and deploy advanced systems. Economies lacking these foundations risk becoming consumers of technologies designed elsewhere, with limited ability to shape them for local needs.

The World Bank's *World Development Report 2026* argues that developing economies can benefit by adopting existing AI tools, adapting them to local languages and institutions, and advancing their own capabilities over time. It emphasises that countries do not all need to build the largest frontier models to gain economic value. In many cases,

practical applications that address local problems may produce greater near-term benefits.
For example, AI services delivered through mobile phones can help farmers access weather information, support teachers in preparing learning materials and assist health workers with screening or administrative tasks. Local-language tools can widen access for people who are not comfortable using dominant international languages.

The risk is that unequal access to infrastructure and skills could deepen the gap between high-productivity and low-productivity economies. International cooperation, affordable connectivity, open standards, investment in education and responsible technology transfer will be important in reducing this divide.

AI and the transformation of key industries

The economic impact of AI will be felt across almost every major sector, although adoption will proceed at different speeds.

In healthcare, AI can support medical imaging, clinical documentation, patient scheduling, drug discovery and public-health surveillance. These tools may help extend limited medical capacity, but clinical decisions require professional oversight, privacy protection and careful validation.

In education, AI tutors and content tools can support personalised learning, language translation and teacher preparation. Their value depends on access, accuracy and the continued role of educators in developing critical thinking and social skills.

In manufacturing, predictive maintenance, robotics and computer vision can reduce downtime and improve quality. In retail and consumer services, AI can support demand forecasting, inventory management, recommendations and customer assistance. In banking and insurance, it can strengthen fraud detection and risk assessment while introducing new model and cyber risks.

Agriculture may benefit from crop analytics, weather forecasts, precision irrigation and market information. Public administration can use AI to improve document processing, service delivery and analysis, provided that decisions affecting citizens remain transparent, reviewable and accountable.

The common lesson is that AI is most useful when it addresses a defined operational problem. Sector-specific implementation, reliable data and human oversight are more important than adopting technology for its own sake.

Financial markets, competition and the risk of an AI bubble

The rapid expansion of AI has attracted substantial investment and high market expectations. Technology companies, semiconductor producers, cloud providers, utilities and infrastructure developers have all become part of the AI investment story. This can support innovation and economic activity, but it also creates financial vulnerabilities.

The IMF has highlighted risks if expected returns from large AI investments fail to materialise. If investors reassess valuations sharply, the impact could spread through equity markets, corporate financing, employment and business confidence. Debt-funded infrastructure projects may be particularly exposed if demand or revenue falls short of expectations.

Market concentration is another concern. Advanced chips, cloud capacity, data and frontier models are controlled by a relatively small number of companies and jurisdictions. This can create barriers for new entrants and make businesses dependent on a limited set of providers.

Competition policy, interoperability, transparent procurement and access to digital infrastructure can help prevent excessive concentration. Financial regulators should monitor leverage, interconnected exposures and the possibility that stress in a small group of firms could affect wider markets.

A sustainable AI economy requires investment discipline. The strongest businesses will be those that deliver measurable value to customers and the wider economy, not simply those that attract attention or spend the most on technology.



Energy, climate and the physical infrastructure of AI

AI may appear to be a digital service, but it depends on physical infrastructure. Data centres require land, cooling systems, high-capacity networks, semiconductors and reliable electricity. As computing demand expands, energy availability and grid capacity are becoming strategic economic considerations.

Rapid data-centre growth can increase pressure on electricity systems, especially where grids are already constrained. It may also intensify demand for water used in cooling and for minerals and materials required in hardware. These effects vary by location and by the efficiency of facilities and equipment.

AI can also support climate and energy objectives. It can help forecast renewable generation, balance electricity networks, improve building efficiency, monitor ecosystems and optimise industrial energy use. The net environmental impact will depend on the source of electricity, hardware efficiency, system design and the applications being deployed.

Governments and businesses should plan AI infrastructure alongside energy policy. Long-term power contracts, renewable energy integration, efficient cooling, transparent water management and investment in grid resilience can reduce risks. Economic competitiveness will increasingly depend on the ability to provide affordable, reliable and sustainable computing capacity.

Governance, trust and responsible adoption



The economic value of AI depends on public and business trust. Systems that produce inaccurate information, discriminate, expose confidential data or make opaque decisions can create financial losses and undermine confidence.

Responsible AI governance should address data privacy, cybersecurity, intellectual property, transparency, accountability and human oversight. The appropriate safeguards will differ according to the risk of the application. A tool that suggests marketing copy does not carry the same consequences as a system used in medical diagnosis, credit decisions or public-benefit administration.

Businesses should establish clear rules on approved tools, confidential information, data retention, verification and responsibility for final decisions. AI-generated outputs should be checked, particularly where legal, financial, safety or regulatory consequences are involved.

Governments face the task of protecting citizens without making compliance so uncertain or costly that innovation is discouraged. International coordination can help develop compatible principles and reduce fragmentation, while allowing countries to adapt rules to their legal systems and development priorities.

What AI means for small businesses and developing economies



Small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) can use AI to access capabilities that were previously expensive or difficult to obtain. Affordable tools can help with customer support, translation, bookkeeping assistance, inventory planning, marketing, document processing and business analysis.

For a small exporter, AI-assisted translation and market research may lower the cost of exploring new markets. A local manufacturer may use predictive maintenance to reduce equipment downtime. A professional firm may automate routine document handling and devote more time to client advice.

However, SMEs often face barriers: limited budgets, weak connectivity, lack of technical skills, concerns about data security and uncertainty about which tools are reliable. Support programmes should therefore focus on practical demonstrations, digital

training, trusted service providers and access to finance—not only on high-level technology strategies.

Developing economies can pursue a pragmatic path: adopt useful tools, adapt them to local languages and business conditions, and build domestic capabilities where there is a clear economic case. This approach can create value without requiring every country or company to compete at the technological frontier.

The policy agenda: Preparing economies for an AI-driven future



Governments need a broad policy framework that connects technology with economic development. First, investment in electricity, broadband, data infrastructure and cybersecurity must expand. Without these foundations, AI adoption will remain limited and uneven.

Second, education systems should strengthen foundational literacy, numeracy, digital competence and problem-solving. Workers need opportunities to update skills throughout their careers. Employers, universities and vocational institutions should work together to make training relevant to changing labour-market needs.

Third, competition and innovation policies should encourage entry, research and access to infrastructure. Public procurement can support responsible adoption, while clear standards can help businesses assess quality and risk.

Fourth, social and labour-market policies should help workers manage transitions. This includes career services, reskilling support and protection for people affected by rapid changes in demand. Tax and regulatory frameworks should avoid creating unnecessary incentives to replace workers where technology could instead improve their productivity.

Finally, international cooperation is essential. AI supply chains cross borders, and risks such as cybercrime, misinformation and market concentration cannot be managed by individual countries alone. Cooperation on standards, research, skills and development finance can make the benefits more widely available.

Conclusion: The future will depend on choices, not technology alone

Artificial intelligence has the potential to become one of the most influential forces shaping the global economy. It can raise productivity, create new industries, improve public services and expand access to expertise. It can also disrupt employment, concentrate market power, increase energy demand and widen inequalities between countries and workers.

The difference between these outcomes will be determined by choices made today. Businesses must integrate AI responsibly and measure real productivity gains. Workers need accessible pathways to acquire new skills. Governments must invest in infrastructure, education and effective institutions. International organisations and private-sector leaders must work together to ensure that AI does not become an advantage available only to a small group of economies and companies.

For developing countries, the opportunity is significant: adopting and adapting useful AI applications may help overcome long-standing shortages of expertise and improve the delivery of essential services. For advanced economies, the challenge is to sustain innovation while managing labour-market and financial risks.

The future of the global economy will not be decided by algorithms alone. It will be shaped by human judgement, institutional capacity, fair competition and the ability to distribute technological gains broadly.



CMA Sandeep Saxena

Uttar Pradesh State Head